Carol DeSoto

From: Charles County Government <applications@charlescountymd.gov>

Sent: Monday, May 13, 2024 8:41 PM

To: Public Record

Subject: *NEW SUBMISSION* 5/14/2024 - Proposed Amendment to Indenture - Docket 250,

Villages at Swan Point

[External Content Warning] This message is from an external sender. Please exercise caution when opening attachments and hover over any links before clicking.

5/14/2024 - Proposed Amendment to Indenture - Docket 250, Villages at Swan Point

 Submission #:
 3201122

 IP Address:
 73.128.66.238

 Submission Date:
 05/13/2024 8:40

 Survey Time:
 3 minutes, 17 seconds

You have a new online form submission.

Note: all answers displaying "*****" are marked as sensitive and must be viewed after your login.

Public Hearing Comment Form

Questions or More Information 240-776-6709

Name

Tiffany Romano

Address

11315 Annabelle Drive Swan Point, MD 20645

Phone

Email

Are you:

Against Topic

Comment

Greetings, As a homeowner in Swan Point, I want to first thank you for the opportunity to submit comments regarding Public Hearing: The Villages at Swan Point, Amendment to Docket 250. Items listed in the letter received from Jenkins Law Firm on behalf of Swan Point Development Co., LLC, leave the builder in a great position with no accountability and leave the community and existing infrastructure left hanging in the winds. 1. Keeping the pool where it is and open during construction. While this is great for now, the existing pool will not be suitable for the planned increase in housing units. As a result the desire to remove the recreational amenity for construction of a new pool and bath house doesn't hold the builder responsible for amenities. Instead it puts the burden on the existing infrastructure. This should be amended to keep the existing pool and bath house throughout construction AND build a new pool and bath house based on increase in housing units (scalable). Given the increase one could see the "need" for 2-3 pools. 2. "Changing the consequences of failure to comply with the conditions of the amended indenture from "WILL" to "MAY" cause the zoning of the property to revert to the prior Agricultural Conservation zone." This is unacceptable. The builder should be held accountable from the beginning and not be given a potential pass with another hearing. This should remain as "WILL". 3. Given the

recent issues with Brookfield's leasing program and the move to only sales, now is not the time to add "Time-Share Property and Fractional Ownership" changes/amendments. This is best left up to the owners through an established HOA once the units are in and homeowners vote to make the decision. This is not a decision left up to the Commissioners - who don't live in the community. I respectfully ask you focus on what the builder is asking to have changed and/or removed in this amendment and you'll see accountability is the main focus. Respectfully, Tiffany

Upload File(s)

Thank you,
Charles County, MD

This is an automated message generated by Granicus. Please do not reply directly to this email.

