

From: [Charles County Government](#)
To: [Public Record](#)
Subject: *NEW SUBMISSION* 6/14/2024 - Proposed Amendment to Indenture - Docket 250, Villages at Swan Point
Date: Monday, June 3, 2024 6:30:50 PM

[External Content Warning] This message is from an external sender. Please exercise caution when opening attachments and hover over any links before clicking.

6/14/2024 - Proposed Amendment to Indenture - Docket 250, Villages at Swan Point

Submission #: 3245832
IP Address: 96.241.246.170
Submission Date: 06/03/2024 6:30
Survey Time: 31 minutes, 24 seconds

You have a new online form submission.

Note: all answers displaying "*****" are marked as sensitive and must be viewed after your login.

Public Hearing Comment Form

Questions or More Information 240-776-6709

Name

Nancy Schertler

Address

14725 Banks O'dee Rd
Newburg, MD 20664

Phone

(202) 255-2324

Email

nschertler@gmail.com

Are you:

Neutral

Comment

Attached is a 2007 email sent from a Critical Area Commission Staff member explaining that there is nothing in the Critical Area criteria that permit "view corridors". The first sentence of Docket 250 §4 Buffer B. iv. Must be amended to end after the word vegetation. SELECTED TREE LIMB TRIMMING AND LIMITED UNDER-STORY CLEARING OF VIEW CORRIDORS IS NOT ALLOWED IN THE BUFFER, and MUST be removed from the proposed amended docket 250. Docket 250 can not permit an action inconsistent with Critical Area Buffer criteria.

Upload File(s)

[Swan Point View corridors 2-20-2007.pdf](#)

Thank you,
Charles County, MD

This is an automated message generated by Granicus. Please do not reply directly to this email.



Nancy Schertler <nschertler@gmail.com>

Swan Point

Gallo, Kerrie <KGallo@dnr.state.md.us>
To: Nancy Schertler <nschertler@gmail.com>

Tue, Feb 20, 2007 at 8:22 AM

Nancy,

The only additional information that would be accepted at this point is anything which directly responds to the clarifying items we've requested from the County. Currently, we have received nothing new. As the panel meeting or Commission meeting date draws closer, I expect supplemental info. Copies of those items can again be requested through a public information act request once they have been received. There will most likely not be conditions given to the applicant before the next meeting.

I envision that the public/private trail issue would be hashed out during approval of a Buffer Management Plan (which would have to go back to the Commission for approval).

There is nothing in the criteria that permits the construction of view corridors. This comment refers to how the applicants intend to manage the Buffer in areas where there is less than complete forest cover today. It is our expectation that it will be fully planted. The planting method is what we need more information on. It is possible that an applicant can provide a fully forested Buffer with a few areas of lower-lying vegetation or understory cover to provide some views of the water. This is a flexibility that we try to work with all applicants on when establishing a Buffer where one does not exist. There is no clearing permitted for a view.

I anticipate that the applicants will show a revised GDP illustrating the location of the marina amenities. I would expect to see this before the Commission meeting on the 7th.

It is my understanding that the MDE hearing will show the revised marina proposal, but again, that question is best left to MDE to answer. I hope that answers the questions that you asked. I believe that our intent is to publish the dates of our upcoming meetings on our website, but as I mentioned, this is not yet a perfect system. The panel will meet in the CAC office in Annapolis at 9:30am on March 2 and again in the morning of March 7, in Crownsville (time to be determined). We expect a vote in the afternoon of the 7th before the full Commission, at 1pm.

Kerrie

-----Original Message-----

From: Nancy Schertler [mailto:nschertler@gmail.com]

Sent: Monday, February 19, 2007 5:01 PM

To: Gallo, Kerrie

[Quoted text hidden]